

The Patriots' Truth

Flint Hills TEA Party News

See us at McALISTER'S DELI, EVERY WED after 5:30pm or whenever ---- Come join the Working Group. Monthly meetings are the 2nd Saturday of each month. We try to keep it interesting & informative!! **Next meeting: August 10 – 9:30AM, Manhattan City Library Auditorium location TBD.** In the interest of placing our focus on the pressing issues of the day this meeting will be open discussion on such issues – come prepared to introduce your concerns schools/city/county/state/national. Come listen & express your concerns & views, help US help each other. We MUST continue to support our Schools & Communities!! Regardless of what happens in DC we MUST keep God in our Schools/Communities & most of all in our Hearts!! Much is still to be accomplished in D.C. and at the State Capital and local levels -- may God guide them all.

KOBACH CRITICIZES 'MOB INTIMIDATION'

by [Mary Rupert](#) June 18, 2013

Kansas Secretary of State Kris Kobach at Tuesday night's Wyandotte County GOP meeting adroitly fielded questions about the protest at his doorstep last weekend.

The Frontier Steakhouse at 94th and State and its parking lot were packed with people interested in his story. Some of the listeners came from Leavenworth County. Three police cars were patrolling the area, and the sheriff was in attendance. It was biker night at the Frontier, with bikers out on the patio enjoying their dinner. Former candidate Joe Ward, a retired police officer, was wearing his Harley-Davidson T-shirt. However, there was no sign of any protests Tuesday night.

Kobach, who told the group he was running again for secretary of state, got a standing ovation as he walked into the restaurant's dining room, which is outfitted in western style and has a sign overhead that reads, "Our Heroes Have Always Been Cowboys."

"It crossed the line," Kobach said about the immigration protests at his Piper home on Saturday, when he wasn't home.

At the meeting, Kobach told the audience that if his family and children had been home, it would have scared them to see 200 men with bullhorns descending on their daddy.

"I feel so blessed that we were out of town," he said.

Before the meeting, Kobach listed possible charges that might be involved with the protest at his home in the Piper area of Kansas City, Kan. Whether charges are filed is up to the district attorney's office, after the police complete an investigation. The possible charges could be criminal threat, trespassing (which might or might not apply) and littering, he said.

Kobach said a neighbor called the police when four busloads of people arrived. It was about 15 minutes until the police arrived, Kobach said the neighbor told him.

He also said remarks he made concerning the Second Amendment (the right to bear arms) had been misinterpreted in the media. He said he was not saying he would shoot anyone. He said that earlier he was answering a hypothetical question about a mob getting violent without any police being present, when he made the remark about the Second Amendment. "I didn't issue any threats," Kobach said.

He added he wouldn't have needed a gun, since he wouldn't have opened his door to a mob.

He said in his speech that his answer to a question on if the Second Amendment would apply was, "I said it depends, if there is mob violence and the police are not there, the Second Amendment is our last line of defense." He received another ovation.

Protesters with the Sunflower Action Group had left shoes at Kobach's door Saturday, symbolizing fathers who would no longer be with their families if they were deported. The shoes are now evidence, he said.

"By entering the country illegally, they've (undocumented people) broken the law," Kobach said. "I haven't taken anybody away. They have created the situation."

Kobach said no elected official should have to undergo a protest at home. He said he has debated the issues

with various groups, but he did not want to respond to mob intimidation.

"It's as if those individuals who broke the law of the United States to enter, are now demanding the law not be enforced, through intimidation," Kobach said in a speech to the group. "And that's not what America is all about."

Kobach also called the current amnesty proposal before Congress the worst one yet. He also said he believed a U.S. Supreme Court ruling June 17 throwing out an Arizona law would not have much effect on the Kansas law, as it was written with different language.

ObamaCare – How to Avoid the Taxes/Penalties – Part 4

By: DAVID J POWELL, CLU, ChFC, CFP, RHU

Candidate for Kansas Insurance Commissioner 2014

To conclude the discussion on 50+ Businesses avoiding the taxes/penalties let me repeat the first requirement.

To avoid the BIG tax penalty of \$2000 per full time employee, the "Applicable Lager Employer" must

OFFER Minimum Essential Coverage to

Section 5000A of PPACA
SPONSORED PLAN – Coverage
And SECTION (f)(2)

PLAN – The term "eligible respect to any employee, a group coverage offered by an employer plan or coverage offered in the State."

SO any group health plan
The LITTLE tax penalty employees who fall into the

If an employer has 100 to all employee but 3 employees penalty/tax would be \$9000.

How do they qualify for a
1. The coverage is NOT affordable.
"Minimum Value."

To AVOID the second penalty

1. Affordable: The test most will use as a safe harbor is looking at Box 1 on an employee's W-2. As long as the employee's share of the cost of the health insurance is less than 9.5 of that amount, the law considers the coverage AFFORDABLE. EX: 9.5% of \$20,000 = \$1900/yr. or \$158.33 per month as the employee's share of the single rate.

2. Minimum Value: This should not be a problem with almost any fully insurance health plan in Kansas. For Self-funded plans, the employer needs to work with the insurance company or Broker to be sure this is met. A plan must cover, actuarially, at least 60% of the total allowed cost of benefits provided. When the employer's plan does both of these, then the employee WILL NOT QUALIFY, in most cases, for a subsidy, so the employer WILL NOT have to pay the LITTLE tax penalty on anyone!!

NEXT – How do Individuals who do not carry ANY insurance AVOID the taxes/penalties?



at least 95% of full time employees.

defines this in part as (f)(1)(B) "EMPLOYER-under an eligible employer –sponsored plan." "ELIGIBLE EMPLOYER-SPONSORED employer-sponsored plan" means, with health plan or group health insurance to the employee which is – (B) any other small or large group market within a

offered in Kansas will qualify.
is \$3000, but it only applies to those subsidized category.
full time employees offers MEC coverage get subsidies, then the employer

subsidy?
2. The coverage does NOT provide

can also be accomplished.
use as a safe harbor is looking at Box 1 on an

Because David feels the following information is extremely important he has contacted me to release all he has sent me at this time. I'm adding it all here. READ and LEARN!!!

ObamaCare – How to Avoid the Taxes/Penalties – Part 5

By: DAVID J POWELL, CLU, ChFC, CFP, RHU

Candidate for Kansas Insurance Commissioner 2014

This article is devoted to INDIVIDUALS who wish to avoid the Taxes/Penalties of PPACA for failure to carry the minimum required Qualified Health Insurance. Excerpts of PPACA are included.

The following IS NOT TAX ADVISE. I am simply making you aware of what the law says. You decide what you want to do!

To begin with, under Section 1312 –

(d) EMPOWERING CONSUMER CHOICE. —

(1) CONTINUED OPERATION OF MARKET OUTSIDE

EXCHANGES. — Nothing in this title shall be construed to prohibit —

(A) a health insurance issuer from offering outside of an Exchange a health plan to a qualified individual or qualified employer; and

(B) a qualified individual from enrolling in, or a qualified employer from selecting for its employees, a health plan offered outside of an Exchange

So individuals can buy or not buy any plan inside or outside of an exchange.

“(f) MINIMUM ESSENTIAL COVERAGE. — For purposes of this section —

“(C) PLANS IN THE INDIVIDUAL MARKET. — Coverage under a health plan offered in the individual market within a State.”

A plan sold in Kansas meets this requirement!

But If you don't buy a qualified plan you face a penalty. With some exceptions, that is correct. The first year penalty is \$95 or 1% of income whichever is greater.

How is the penalty collected? You send it in with your tax return. What if I don't send it in?

2) SPECIAL RULES.—Notwithstanding any other provision of law—

“(A) WAIVER OF CRIMINAL PENALTIES.—In the case of any failure by a taxpayer to timely pay any penalty imposed by this section, such taxpayer shall not be subject to any criminal prosecution or penalty with respect to such failure.

“(B) LIMITATIONS ON LIENS AND LEVIES.—The Secretary shall not—

“(i) file notice of lien with respect to any property of a taxpayer by reason of any failure to pay the penalty imposed by this section, or

“(ii) levy on any such property with respect to such failure.”.

I am not a lawyer, but as I read this, HHS nor the IRS has any enforceable way to collect if you don't pay, except to withhold whatever may be owed from your tax refund.

Many people unknowingly give the treasury an interest free loan every year by having extra held out of their check so they can get a refund. That is your money you are getting back that you could have spent or save (and earned SOME interest on) during the year. Changing a W-4 so that the calculation shows no refund eliminates this interest free loan!

With that in mind, if there is NO REFUND, then there is nothing to withhold from!!

Some may say this is another GLITCH in PPACA.

If no taxes/penalties are collected, how do they pay for this law?

ObamaCare - How to avoid the taxes/penalties - PART 6

By: David J Powell, CLU, ChFC, CFP, RHU

Candidate for Kansas Insurance Commissioner 2014

WARNING!! WARNING!!

I thought it best to begin the next few articles with this alert!

For any individual considering **TITLE I—QUALITY, AFFORDABLE HEALTH CARE FOR ALL AMERICANS**

Subtitle A—Immediate Improvements in Health Care Coverage for All Americans

SEC. 2716. PROHIBITION OF DISCRIMINATION BASED ON SALARY.

“(a) **IN GENERAL.** — The plan sponsor of a group health plan (other than a self-insured plan) may not establish rules relating to the health insurance coverage eligibility (including continued eligibility) of any full-time employee under the terms of the plan that are based on the total hourly or annual salary of the employee or otherwise establish eligibility rules that have the effect of discriminating in favor of higher wage employees.

“(b) **LIMITATION.** — Subsection (a) shall not be construed to prohibit a plan sponsor from establishing contribution requirements for enrollment in the plan or coverage that provide for the payment by employees with lower hourly or annual compensation of a lower dollar or percentage contribution than the payment required of similarly situated employees with a higher hourly or annual compensation.

“SEC. 2716. PROHIBITION ON DISCRIMINATION IN FAVOR OF HIGHLY COMPENSATED INDIVIDUALS.

“(a) **IN GENERAL.** — A group health plan (other than a self-insured plan) shall satisfy the requirements of section 105(h)(2) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 (relating to prohibition on discrimination in favor of highly compensated individuals).

“(b) **RULES AND DEFINITIONS.** — For purposes of this section—

“(1) **CERTAIN RULES TO APPLY.** — Rules similar to the rules contained in paragraphs (3), (4), and (8) of section 105(h) of such Code shall apply.

“(2) **HIGHLY COMPENSATED INDIVIDUAL.** — The term ‘highly compensated individual’ has the meaning given such term by section 105(h)(5) of such Code.”

SEC. 1555. FREEDOM NOT TO PARTICIPATE IN FEDERAL HEALTH INSURANCE PROGRAMS.

No individual, company, business, nonprofit entity, or health insurance issuer offering group or individual health insurance coverage shall be required to participate in any Federal health insurance program created under this Act (or any amendments made by this Act), or in any Federal health insurance program expanded by this Act (or any such amendments), and there shall be no penalty or fine imposed upon any such issuer for choosing not to participate in such programs.

(4) NO PENALTY FOR TRANSFERRING TO MINIMUM ESSENTIAL COVERAGE OUTSIDE EXCHANGE. — An Exchange, or a qualified health plan offered through an Exchange, shall not impose any penalty or other fee on an individual who cancels enrollment in a plan because the individual becomes eligible for minimum essential coverage (as defined in section 5000A(f) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 without regard to paragraph(1)(C) or (D) thereof) or such coverage becomes affordable (within the meaning of section 36B(c)(2)(C) of such Code) getting their insurance through the exchanges because they might qualify for a subsidy, **BE WARNED!**

All is not as it appears.

1. All but those in some of the lowest income brackets will still be required to pay part of the insurance premiums!

2. At the end of your first year in the exchange plan, you will probably owe the IRS money.

Why?

Because, your subsidy is based upon your qualifying income.

1. According to the premium calculator (check out what the numbers will be in your state): In Kansas
 - a. AT \$20,000 PER YEAR
 - i. 22 year old single pays \$1021 with a \$1997 subsidy.
 - ii. 45 year old single pays \$1021 with a \$3337 subsidy.
 - iii. 60 year old single pays \$1021 with a \$7170 subsidy.
 - b. AT \$30,000 PER YEAR
 - i. 22 year old single pays \$2512 with a \$5680 subsidy.
 - ii. 45 year old single pays \$2512 with a \$1847 subsidy.
 - iii. 60 year old single pays \$2512 with a \$507 subsidy.
2. Based upon the way the law is written, if you receive a raise during the year, your subsidy qualification level will change. The subsidy amount you are eligible for will decrease. So unless you re-file to adjust your subsidy to the new lower amount, you will be receiving too much.

So at the end of 2014, you will owe the IRS a refund of the amount over-subsidized!

For the most part, most people in this situation will not be aware that they need to make this change with a change in income. A majority will probably not be able to pay the large lump sum repayment they will face. AND I do not believe the IRS or HHS will publicize that these people will need to file an adjustment to their subsidy.

The low income to middle class consumers will lose again!
This seems to be an incentive to stay working poor?

This insurance is supposed to be affordable. Did you notice that the 22 year old has a premium of more than \$3000 per/year. Today I can sell him a similar plan for only about \$78 per month including all levels of prescriptions or for \$60 per month with generics covered. Much less than \$1000 per year.

For the 45 year old at \$4358/yr., I am at \$1850/yr.
The 60 year old at about \$8200/yr., I am at \$3520/yr.
How can they say prices have dropped under PPACA???
Contact me with your questions. POWELL4KANSAS.COM
Or at 1-316-320-2091

ObamaCare - How to avoid the taxes/penalties - PART 8
By: David J Powell, CLU, ChFC, CFP, RHU
Candidate for Kansas Insurance Commissioner 2014

WARNING!! WARNING!!

I thought it best to begin the next few articles with this alert!

TOO MANY BUSINESSES ARE CAUGHT UP IN THE EUPHORIA THAT THEY WILL NOT FACE ANY EMPLOYER PENALTIES UNTIL 2015!!!

Most are overlooking the largest penalty that went into effect in January of 2011 that was then ALSO DELAYED.

This is: "SEC. 2716. PROHIBITION ON DISCRIMINATION IN FAVOR OF HIGHLY COMPENSATED INDIVIDUALS."

Businesses both small and large have only offered group health insurance benefits to their management and supervisors for years. Under the new health care law, Section 2716 made it against the law to continue to do this after 1/1/2011.

The penalty is stiff - \$100 per day per employee not covered!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

HHS decided to delay the start of this penalty. HOWEVER, NO DEFINITE RESTART DATE WAS GIVEN!

Everyone assumed the restart would be in the fall of 2011, but they have yet to say when. With the start of the Large employer penalty delayed, that large amount of revenue will be lost for 2014 and will need to be replaced.

If they fire this provision back up, it will more than replace those lost dollars.

That simply means that HHS and IRS could now say it will BEGIN TOMORROW and millions of employers SMALL and large will be caught with their pants down!!

Again, the solution is simple.

As suggested in Article 1 of this series, an employer must only OFFER group health insurance coverage to ALL full time employees. It must include minimum essential coverage and be affordable as defined in Section 5000A.

Again, as suggested in the article, it can be a really poor benefit plan and still meet these requirements.

WHY TAKE THE CHANCE?

As an example, look at a 5 person group with just 2 manager/owners covered. The other 3 are not offered coverage: $3 \times \$100 \times 365 = \$109,500$ THAT'S A LOT OF MONEY!!!

DO YOU FEEL LUCKY?

DO YOU REALLY BELIEVE THAT HHS AND IRS WILL PASS ON THE CHANCE TO COLLECT PENALTY TAXES WHEN THEY HAVE SHUT DOWN ONE SOURCE OF REVENUE FOR 2014???

TITLE I—QUALITY, AFFORDABLE HEALTH CARE FOR ALL AMERICANS

Subtitle A—Immediate Improvements in Health Care Coverage for All Americans

SEC. 2716. PROHIBITION OF DISCRIMINATION BASED ON SALARY.

“(a) IN GENERAL. — The plan sponsor of a group health plan (other than a self-insured plan) may not establish rules relating to the health insurance coverage eligibility (including continued eligibility) of any full-time employee under the terms of the plan that are based on the total hourly or annual salary of the employee or otherwise establish eligibility rules that have the effect of discriminating in favor of higher wage employees.

“(b) LIMITATION. — Subsection (a) shall not be construed to prohibit a plan sponsor from establishing contribution requirements for enrollment in the plan or coverage that provide for the payment by employees with lower hourly or annual compensation of a lower dollar or percentage contribution than the payment required of similarly situated employees with a higher hourly or annual compensation.

“SEC. 2716. PROHIBITION ON DISCRIMINATION IN FAVOR OF HIGHLY COMPENSATED INDIVIDUALS.

“(a) IN GENERAL. — A group health plan (other than a self-insured plan) shall satisfy the requirements of section 105(h)(2) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 (relating to prohibition on discrimination in favor of highly compensated individuals).

“(b) RULES AND DEFINITIONS. — For purposes of this section —

“(1) CERTAIN RULES TO APPLY. — Rules similar to the rules contained in paragraphs (3), (4), and (8) of section 105(h) of such Code shall apply.

“(2) HIGHLY COMPENSATED INDIVIDUAL. — The term ‘highly compensated individual’ has the meaning given such term by section 105(h)(5) of such Code.”

SEC. 1555. FREEDOM NOT TO PARTICIPATE IN FEDERAL HEALTH INSURANCE PROGRAMS.

No individual, company, business, nonprofit entity, or health insurance issuer offering group or individual health insurance coverage shall be required to participate in any Federal health insurance program created under this Act (or any amendments made by this Act), or in any Federal health insurance program expanded by this Act (or any such amendments), and there shall be no penalty or fine imposed upon any such issuer for choosing not to participate in such programs.

(4) NO PENALTY FOR TRANSFERRING TO MINIMUM ESSENTIAL COVERAGE OUTSIDE EXCHANGE. — An Exchange, or a qualified health plan offered through an Exchange, shall not impose any penalty or other fee on an individual who cancels enrollment in a plan because the individual becomes eligible for minimum essential coverage (as defined in section 5000A(f) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 without regard to paragraph (1)(C) or (D) thereof) or such coverage becomes affordable (within the meaning of section 36B(c)(2)(C) of such Code).

I and all of Flint Hills TEA Party want to THANK David Powell for his hard work and dedication in writing these articles for us. David has worked very hard to be able to serve us and all Kansas and U.S citizens. He is very knowledgeable in all areas of insurance, understanding ObamaCare is just one area of his expertise – car, home, life, property, crop, and health along with others I'm probably not aware of. Whatever our insurance needs are David can help!! That is why we need David Powell to be our next State Insurance Commissioner. YOU will be helping yourself and all Kansans when you HELP DAVID POWELL BECOME the NEXT KANSAS INSURANCE COMMISSIONER!!! David wants to serve all Kansans and solve as many of our insurance problems as he can – David needs your help!! LET'S WORK FOR DAVID SO HE CAN WORK FOR US!!

"It will be of little avail to the people, that the laws are made by men of their own choice, if the laws be so voluminous that they cannot be read, or so incoherent that they cannot be understood; if they be repealed or revised before they are promulgated, or undergo such incessant changes that no man, who knows what the law is to-day, can guess what it will be to-morrow." --James Madison

FRIDAY DIGEST

The Biggest Waiver

July 5, 2013

When it comes to ObamaCare, Nancy Pelosi has a way of putting things that, in a roundabout and unintended way, sheds light on reality. For instance, before the law passed, she told us, "We have to pass the bill so that you can find out what is in it." Then last week, she declared, "[When we celebrate Independence Day we'll also be observing health independence. ... It captures the spirit of our Founders."

Memo to Nancy: There's a difference between dependence and independence.

Just in time for Independence Day, Barack Obama announced that he would use his despot-like executive power to delay for one year the employer mandate until 2015. That, of course, just happens to be after the 2014 elections, thereby sparing Democrats much inconvenience. So much for their month-old 2014 strategy to "[own ObamaCare](#)."

The mandate requires that businesses with 50 or more employees provide government-approved health insurance for their employees or pay a penalty of \$2,000 per employee. The requirement is already costing businesses and thereby creating unemployment. On the other hand, the individual mandate, which the Supreme Court upheld as a "tax," remains in effect for 2014. The mandates are the heart of the law -- the reason Obama can claim "universal coverage." How can one work without the other?

In short, the law isn't even fully in effect but has become exactly what one of its authors, Sen. Max Baucus (D-MT), labeled it earlier this year: "a huge train wreck." Or as Chris Jacobs of the Heritage Foundation [put it](#), "It is hard to understate the impact of today's devastating admission from the Administration that, after three years, it still cannot implement Obamacare without strangling businesses in red tape and destroying American jobs."

In related news, the Tenth Circuit Court of Appeals ruled last week that the federal government infringed on the religious liberties of the Green family of Oklahoma by forcing their company, Hobby Lobby, to comply with ObamaCare's contraception mandate. The Obama Department of Health and Human Services (HHS), unmoved by such trivial things as the constitutionality of its actions, finalized the mandate the same day the ruling was handed

down, compelling the Tenth Circuit to issue a restraining order preventing the government from enforcing its mandate against Hobby Lobby.

The HHS mandate, supposedly rewritten to provide broader religious exemptions, was finalized in almost the same form in which it was originally presented two years ago. There are currently 60 lawsuits that challenge the mandate, and 21 temporary halts to its enforcement have been granted.

None of this, however, stopped the American Library Association from willingly becoming a mouthpiece for ObamaCare, agreeing to use 17,000 public libraries as information centers and way stations for uninsured individuals to sign up for the program. This tragic conversion of America's public library system into a political tool to promote an unpopular government program shatters the concept of an institution dedicated to the free and open dissemination of knowledge. Further demonstrating that their shamelessness knows no bounds, Team Obama also attempted to recruit several professional sports organizations as ObamaCare propagandists. The NFL has, wisely, refused to sully its brand.

And, finally, in case you forgot just how expensive ObamaCare will actually be, a [recent analysis](#) conducted by The Wall Street Journal of insurance coverage to be sold in the law's new exchanges revealed that few low-rate policies currently available for healthy people will still be available once the law is fully implemented, thus forcing individuals to pay more for their health insurance.

It would seem Nancy Pelosi's idea of celebrating ObamaCare dependency couldn't be more wrong.

Thoughts of President Obama: The Egyptian people are overthrowing the Islamist regime I put in Cairo!!! What am I gonna do when the American People decide to OVERTHROW the ISLAMIST REGIME I'm putting in Washington? What will Obama do if and when he determines this is a real threat & how will we respond? Will he back off? Will he try to pacify us? I doubt it. He is much too arrogant!! Will he use OUR own Armies against us? How will our Soldiers respond? How will WE respond? Maybe Obama will use his **Personal Army!!!** Again HOW WILL WE RESPOND?? It might be prudent to give this some thought. Is the USA really coming to THIS??

Canadian's Version of David Letterman's Top 10

Unfortunately true. This is Canada's Top Ten List of America's Stupidity. Of Course we look like idiots.... Because we are!!!

10) Only in America ... could politicians talk about the greed of the rich at a \$35,000.00 a plate campaign fund-raising event.

9) Only in America ... could people claim that the government still discriminates against black Americans when they have a black President, a black Attorney General and roughly 20% of the federal workforce is black while only 14% of the population is black. 40+% of all federal entitlements goes to black Americans – 3X the rate that go to whites, 5X the rate that go to Hispanics!

8) Only in America ... could they have had the two people most responsible for our tax code, Timothy Geithner (the head of the Treasury Department) and Charles Rangel (who once ran the Ways and Means Committee), BOTH turn out to be tax cheats who are in favor of higher taxes.

7) Only in America ... can they have terrorists kill people in the name of Allah and have the media primarily react by fretting that Muslims might be harmed by the backlash.

6) Only in America ... would they make people who want to legally become American citizens wait for years in their home countries and pay tens of thousands of dollars for the privilege, while they discuss letting anyone who sneaks into the country illegally just 'magically' become American citizens.

5) Only in America ... could the people who believe in balancing the budget and sticking by the country's Constitution be thought of as "extremists."

4) Only in America ... could you need to present a driver's license to cash a check or buy alcohol, but not to vote.

3) Only in America ... could people demand the government investigate whether oil companies are gouging the public because the price of gas went up when the return on equity invested in a major U.S. oil company (Marathon Oil) is less than half of a company making tennis shoes (Nike).

2) Only in America ... could the government collect more tax dollars from the people than any nation in recorded history, still spend a Trillion dollars more than it has per year - for total spending of \$7-Million PER MINUTE, and complain that it doesn't have nearly enough money.

and . . . number one

1) Only in America... could the rich people - who pay 86% of all income taxes - be accused of not paying their "fair share" by people who don't pay any income taxes at all.

I recently received a request from Congresswoman Lynn Jenkins for contributions for her coming campaign. We happily contributed during her last campaign, but this time probably not. I sincerely regret that we don't feel the Congresswoman is as "on our side" as we did during her last campaign.

The FHTP feels a GOLDEN opportunity to further Fair Tax is rapidly slipping away. We have been patiently waiting to hear that the House is pushing for the complete disbandment of the IRS and encouraging Fair Tax to be established. While the IRS is so obviously in the wrong and overstepping their powers is the ideal time to completely abolish the system. There have been reports of Democratic Senators beginning to "see the light." Any and all opportunities need to be taken full advantage of. The IRS people would still have the jobs of "policing the new Health Care bill", so no one would be out of a job, only have powers they cannot and will not keep legal and honest removed from their jurisdiction. Fair Tax does NOT provide the opportunity for any prejudice for or against anyone. Each citizen would basically control the individual tax they pay. All those rules and regulations would be gone and no one would have the opportunity to favor or penalize anyone.

Yes, we do understand the chances of Fair Tax actually passing and come into actuality would be extremely slim. However, it is even slimmer when no one introduces the idea/bill. We do NOT understand the fact this didn't/hasn't happened. It still could, you know. In so many instances it seems Representative Jenkins is "not making waves", not making the most of her opportunities. How is she going to help Kansas and Kansans following the Speaker around?

It seems the Speaker of the House has not been pushing for many good results either. In fact, it really seems that not much at all has been accomplished or even much suggested in favor of Democracy and fairness. It has been suggested to us "it seems most Republicans are following the Democrats example and are just collecting their salaries and not even trying or pushing much for the many things our country is in need of." Don't tell me it is because they won't succeed, NO ONE EVER SUCCEEDED AT ANYTHING WITHOUT TRYING, AND FROM HERE IT DOESN'T APPEAR MUCH TRYING IS GOING ON. Yes, I read Lynn's Newsletters along with Representative Yoder's, Rep. Huelskamp, and Senator Moran's and see what they are doing. It appears Rep. Huelskamp is the only one giving "it" a run for the money. She is doing some small things, as they all are. Great, but those are NOT the things we sent her to Washington to accomplish. Actually since she voted approval of U.S. Citizens being arrested for no reason and held without representation not much positive action has happened. There have been many opportunities for Lynn to work with Representative Tim Huelskamp on issues that Kansans highly approved of and would have been happy to see more than one Kansas Congress member fighting for. That hasn't happened. I believe we were told that probably Lynn did NOT want to risk losing her committee appointments and we can understand that. However, not much has been accomplished in those committees this last session either. At one point in time we were told about a 'group' from both sides of the aisle were working together – nothing seems to have come of that either or we haven't heard about it.

I understand that Congresswoman Jenkins is not actually our Representative here in Riley County any more, however, if she expects financial support from us she will need to be willing to answer to us.

Just me thinking out loud!! What're your thoughts? Come to FHTP's next meeting & tell us!

WND Commentary Tuesday, July 9, 2013 RIGHT TO REPLY

WILL THE GOP SOUND ITS TRUMPET?

Exclusive: Lord Monckton shames party for not keeping its 'red-rabbit RINOs' in check

Published: 07/02/2013 at 7:38 PM

Sen. Marco Rubio's support for the "Democrats" immigration amnesty bill once again raises questions about what the Republican Party is for. Trouble is, RINOs like Rubio are no longer a rare species.

To see the trouble the GOP has gotten itself into by letting the RINO tail wag the dog, ask yourself this: How many DINOs still stalk the Earth? There are precious few true blue-dog "Democrats" on the far left's center-right. But

just look at all those red-rabbit RINOs on the center-right's far left.

The RINO rabbits have made it impossible for the GOP to be an effective opposition to the "Democrats." Most of the major policies espoused by Black Jesus and his Apostles, and faithfully voted for by just about all "Democrats" in both Houses of Congress, are indistinguishable from communism.

Obama has bankrupted America. His interventions in Benghazi, Iraq, Afghanistan, Egypt and Syria have been inept at best, illegal at worst.

Obamacare will import all the worst features of the communist country in miniature that is Britain's now terminally collapsing National Health Service, where treatment is rationed by death.

His climate change policies, and the slew of lavishly taxpayer-funded renewable-boondoggle bankruptcies, are mad.

His anti-Christian attitudes, enthusiastically endorsed by the Supreme Kangaroo Court, spit upon the memory of the men of religion who founded your nation and intended that Congress should pass no law circumscribing religious freedom.

And his birth certificate is bogus. He is not entitled even to hold the office of president.

Under the circumstances, the Republicans should be miles ahead. He should never have won a second term. But, with the RINOs around its neck, the GOP is no longer functioning as an effective political machine.

Here's the rapsheet.

Not once but twice, the GOP unerringly selected as its choice for the presidency the candidate least likely to beat Black Jesus. Neither McCain (too old and flip-floppy) nor Romney (too slick and flop-floppy) ever came remotely close to looking presidential.

Any halfway decent candidate could and should and would have beaten Obama first time around. Even a monkey could have beaten him second time around. But not a rabbit. That is the measure of Romney's – and the GOP's – failure.

The one thing the party had to do – and still has to do – is to find and groom and parade so stellar a selection of presidential candidates that whoever wins the nomination will also win the White House. Are they doing it now, after two spectacular failures? Don't hold your breath.

Next, the party has failed to keep the RINOs in check. What this means is that Obama can pretty much do what he likes, knowing that the majority against him in the House is not as bad as it appears.

On the few matters where the Republicans have succeeded in keeping the RINOs in their place – such as climate change, where they have made it impossible for Obama to get any of his mad policies through Congress – Obama has simply circumvented Congress and used agencies such as the eminently abolishable EPA to extend their already wide powers of regulation by issuing what – in all but name – are new laws.

Article I, Section 1, of your Constitution says all legislative power is vested in Congress, and no place else. Yet the GOP has done just about nothing to oppose the gradual erosion of the legislative competence of Congress by the transfer of its lawmaking function to the Obama-supporting Supreme Court and to the Obama-supporting executive agencies of government.

Above all, the Republicans seem to have no manifesto. No one knows what – if anything – they still stand for, other than their own personal advancement. In no small part thanks to the poisonous influence of the RINOs, they have no common purpose, no worldview, no *Weltanschauung*, no message.

What would they do about the crippling federal debt Obama will soon have doubled, if he has not done so already? Er...

How would they propose to care for the hundreds of millions who now receive federal handouts & food stamps & other sweeties, when China ends its bailout of the U.S. & the money to provide that care runs out? Ah ...

When would they sweep away the EPA, the IRS and other heavily politicized agencies Obama has used, at prodigious expense to taxpayers, to promote his own presidency? Um ...

What would they do to prevent further erosion of the dollar's value by federal money-printing? Oof...

What about the birth certificate? Urrgh...

What would be their policy to put the lid on the welfare honey-pot that attracts wetbacks? Mphm...

When would they end all subsidies to wind and solar energy, failed electric-car and battery corporations and other pointless, wasteful climate-related spending? Aaargh...

How would they propose to give Americans a real vision of the future of their nation and true hope for her future? Whfff...

If the trumpet give an uncertain sound, says the Good Book, who shall go forth to battle?

And the Republicans' trumpet goes "Pfft..."

Read more at <http://mobile.wnd.com/2013/07/will-the-gop-sound-its-trumpet/#UsBvjbwBXkWAYOca.99>

Obama's New Worth: In 2007: \$1.3Million; In 2013: \$12.2 Million!!! That is an 838% Increase!! "THIS ONLY REFLECTS OBAMA'S REPORTED INCOME." HE'S DOING GREAT!!! HOW ARE YOU DOING??

**BEFORE IT'S NEWS – UPLOAD NEWS – PEOPLE POWERED NEWS
HOORAY FOR CABELA'S – THURSDAY, JUNE 6, 2013 AT 13:08**

Subject: Hooray for Cabela's

Unbelievable!! If you don't think the current administration is a complete bunch of crooks, read this entire email. Among other things, they define a "gas guzzling automobile" as a medical device so they can charge a 2.3% excise tax for Obamacare! Are we living in Russia or what? Hooray to Cabela's for showing them up. Begin forwarded message: The 2.3% Medical Excise Tax that began on January 1st is supposed to be "hidden" from the consumer, but it's been brought to the public's attention by hunting and fishing store Cabela's who have refused to hide it and are showing it as a separate line item tax on their receipts, the email states. I did some research and found directly from the IRS's website information that PROVES this to be true and an accurate portrayal of something hidden in Obamacare that I was not aware of! Now being skeptical of this I went to the IRS website and found this! Q1. What is the medical device excise tax? A1. Section 4191 of the Internal Revenue Code imposes an excise tax on the sale of certain medical devices by the manufacturer or importer of the device. Q2-- When does the tax go into effect? A2. The tax applies to sales of taxable medical devices after Dec 31, 2012. Q3-- How much is the tax? A3. The tax is 2.3 percent of the sale price of the taxable medical device. See Chapter 5 of IRS.

Publication 510, Excise Taxes, and Notice 2012-77 for additional information on the determination of sale price. [IRS.gov Chapter Five http://www.irs.gov/publications/p510/ch05.html](http://www.irs.gov/publications/p510/ch05.html)

So being more curious I clicked on "Chapter 5 OF IRS PUBLICATION 510". And what do I find under "MEDICAL DEVICES" under "MANUFACTURERS TAXES"? The following discussion of manufacturers taxes applied to the tax on: *Sport fishing equipment; Fishing rods and fishing poles; Electric outboard motors; Fishing tackle boxes; Bows, quivers, broadheads, and points; Arrow shafts; Coal ; Taxable tires; Gas guzzler automobiles; and Vaccines.*

IRS.GOV: I think we have definitely been fooled, if we believe that the Affordable Care Act is all about health care. It truly does appear to be nothing more than a bill laden with a while lot of taxes that we the people have yet to be aware of.

Please tell other about this. I am still incredulous that this can go on. Where is our press? I guess it's just like Nancy Pelosi said.....We have to pass it to see what is in it. What is next? What else is there we do not know about? I am sick to death about our government.....all of them!!!!!!! **GOD help us!!!**

"[I]t is more convenient to prevent the passage of a law, than to declare it void after it has passed."
– James Madison, to Thomas Jefferson, 1787

Speaking of "Health Care" here is an [article on Health Care in hospitals in England](#).

The Telegraph, Health News **Laura Donnelly, Health Correspondent** on Tuesday, July 16, 2013
Callous: the verdict on NHS care for the dying

Dying patients are suffering a "fundamental" lack of care and being left in agony outside normal hours, according to a report which accuses nurses of brutality and callousness.

5 of 26 Comments

Ministers have said they will abolish the Liverpool Care Pathway, following an independent review commissioned by Government, which yesterday concluded that the controversial approach to end-of-life care had

failed.

Too many patients have been left facing the desperate and “terrifying prospect” of spending their final hours thirsty, with nurses even shouting at families who tried to give their loved ones water, the review led by Baroness Julia Neuberger found.

A separate report by Prof Sir Bruce Keogh, the medical director for NHS England, will expose a history of failings at 14 hospital trusts with high death rates. One Tory MP claims Labour ministers received more than 1,500 alerts over the safety of care at those hospitals.

The Neuberger review heard evidence from relatives who had been forced to soak paper towels from bathroom dispensers in order to provide comfort to desperately thirsty patients who had been placed on the pathway.

The approach was supposed to mean that treatment could be stopped, if it would mean a more comfortable death, while food and drink could be withdrawn if dying patients did not want them. But the panel of experts said the pathway had been misused, which had distressed too many patients and their relatives.

**“No Ordinary American Cares About Their Constitutional Rights.” By Joe Bidden
Yes, America, our Vice President said that!!! What do YOU think about that and about Joe Bidden?**

Just because he doesn't have much smarts doesn't mean “ordinary American's don't!!

If you would like to forward this Newsletter as is on to others – be my guest.

If you would like to send comments to the editor – be my guest.

If you have an editorial to submit – be my guest.

Flint Hills TEA Party contact information: www.flinthillsteaparty.com; email: fhttp@flinthillsteaparty.com or facebook – Flint Hills TEA Party; Manhattan contact – Chuck Henderson, 785-236-1286; Sylda Nichols, editor, email: sylda@gemsandwood.com. Sylda sends the snail mail. Newsletter; Flint Hills TEA Party Snail Mail: Flint Hills TEA Party of KS, 1228 Westloop Place, PMB #326, Manhattan, KS 66502-2840. All donations for the Educational Fund (payable to “Educational Fund”) will also be accepted at this address and is tax deductible.

Reprinting of this Newsletter may be done in whole, however, copying any part requires permission given by the persons listed above.